

## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 141 827

CS 203 531

AUTHOR Christie, Daniel J.  
TITLE Memory for Prose: Development of Mnemonic Strategies and Use of High Order Relations.  
PUB DATE Mar 77  
NOTE 14p.; Paper presented at the Biennial Meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development (New Orleans, March 17-20, 1977)  
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage.  
DESCRIPTORS \*Cognitive Processes; Educational Research; Elementary Education; Grade 1; Grade 4; \*Listening Comprehension; \*Memory; \*Mnemonics; \*Psychological Studies; \*Recall (Psychological); Retention  
IDENTIFIERS \*Prose Learning

## ABSTRACT

Forty first-grade children and 40 fourth-grade children participated in a study that sought to determine if age-related increases in memory for prose are due, in part, to deliberate mnemonic strategies and if older children use the higher-order relations in prose more efficiently than do younger children. Each child listened to a tape-recorded passage. To induce deliberate mnemonic strategies, half of the children were informed that there would be a memory task; the rest were not told. Without contextual information, high-order relations in the passage could not be discerned. Half of the children were presented contextual information; the remaining were not. After passage presentation, each child was asked to reconstruct the story. Results indicated that age-related increases in memory for prose were, in part, due to the development of deliberate mnemonic strategies. Furthermore, if contextual information were available, older and younger children efficiently retained the analysis of the high-order relations extracted from prose. (Author/AA)

\*\*\*\*\*  
\* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished \*  
\* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort \*  
\* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal \*  
\* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality \*  
\* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available \*  
\* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not \*  
\* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions \*  
\* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

ED141827

MEMORY FOR PROSE: DEVELOPMENT OF MNEMONIC STRATEGIES  
AND USE OF HIGH ORDER RELATIONS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,  
EDUCATION & WELFARE  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF  
EDUCATION

"THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY."

Daniel J. Christie  
Department of Psychology  
Ohio State University  
Marion, Ohio 43302

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPYRIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Daniel J. Christie

TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION. FURTHER REPRODUCTION OUTSIDE THE ERIC SYSTEM REQUIRES PERMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT OWNER."

Paper presented at the biennial meeting of the  
Society for Research in Child Development  
New Orleans, March 17-20, 1977.

CS-203 531

MEMORY FOR PROSE: DEVELOPMENT OF MNEMONIC STRATEGIES  
AND USE OF HIGH ORDER RELATIONS

Daniel J. Christie

Ohio State University

The American literature on memory development is replete with studies indicating that memory development is, in part, due to the development of deliberate mnemonic strategies (Meacham, 1972). While this conception of memory has been useful in accounting for developmental differences in memory for a variety of materials, it has not been applied extensively to memory for meaningful materials. Accordingly, the present study was designed to explore the possibility that deliberate mnemonic strategies are involved in children's retention of meaningful prose passages.

Additionally, it should be noted that although mnemonic strategies may enhance memory for meaningful materials, these strategies would not seem to be an essential prerequisite for memory to occur. Clearly, there is some evidence suggesting that children as young as five years of age retain the essential features of meaningful prose materials. In a study conducted by Gary Schumacher and myself (Christie & Schumacher, 1975), for example, kindergarten, second, and fifth grade children were presented a passage consisting of sentences that were relevant or logically related to the main theme. In addition, the passage contained an equal number of thematically irrelevant sentences which were interspersed randomly among relevant sentences. The results indicated that children at each grade level recalled a significantly greater number of relevant than irrelevant sentences. Apparently even kindergarten children are capable of using the high order relations of sentences which are thematically relevant.

In the present study, the availability of high order relations in prose passages was manipulated by presenting or withholding relevant contextual information. Without this information, the sentences within a passage were semantically ambiguous. On the other hand, if relevant contextual information was presented, the sentences formed a meaningful sequence of events. Using this procedure it was possible to determine if older children use the high order relations in prose more efficiently than younger children.

### Method

#### Subjects

The subjects were 80 children randomly selected from an elementary school. An equal number of children from first and fourth grade were tested. The median age for the two grade levels was 6.8 and 9.8 years, respectively.

#### Passages

Two passages, each of which contained 20 idea units, were employed. Each idea unit consisted of a nonredundant piece of information concerning either (a) an event or (b) a characteristic of an object or person referred to in the passage. The idea units within the passages were grammatically well-formed but difficult to comprehend without the children's knowledge of the context within which the story took place. To illustrate, the following are the first four idea units from one of the passages:

A crowd of about 100 people saw the big explosion. Firetrucks surrounded the bottom of the narrow tube-like building. Inside, Joe knew that right now he was supposed to sit still. Later, Joe noticed that the firetrucks looked like tiny matchbox toys.

Children presented relevant contextual information for this passage were told that the story would be about an astronaut named Joe who blasts off in his rocketship.

From previous research conducted by Gary Schumacher and myself (Christie & Schumacher, 1976), it was found that if children are not aware of the context, they actively search for and attempt to generate their own context during passage presentation. To insure that children in the present study could not generate the contexts for the passages, an exploratory study was carried out with 10 fifth grade children. Half of the children heard one of the passages and the remaining children heard the other passage. In both conditions, the passages were tape recorded and presented without their corresponding contextual statements. After the presentation of a passage, each child was asked if he or she knew or had figured out what the story was about. Two judges determined independently whether or not each child generated a substantively equivalent form of the context. The judges agreed that none of the children was able to generate the context.

#### Design

A 2X2X2 factorial design with two dependent measures was employed. The factors were: (a) context - presented vs. withheld; (b) instructions - intentional (children who were informed about the subsequent memory task) vs. incidental (children who were not informed); and (c) grade - first vs. fourth graders. Dependent measures consisted of a reconstruction and recognition test.

#### Procedure

The children were tested individually. Within each grade level, assignment to conditions was on a random basis. Prior to the presentation of a passage, half of the children from each grade level were informed that they would hear a story and later be asked to tell the experimenter the story (intentional instructions); the remaining children were simply told that they would hear a story (incidental instructions). Then, one

of the two passages was presented to each child via a tape recorder. Subsequently, the children were asked to tell the experimenter everything they could remember about the story. Each child's reconstruction of the story was tape recorded and later given to two judges who determined independently the number of idea units reconstructed. Judges considered an idea unit correctly recalled if it appeared in the child's reconstruction without substantial alteration of meaning. Accordingly, synonyms and changes in tense were acceptable. The interjudge reliability coefficient for the number of idea units reconstructed was .95.

Immediately following each child's reconstruction of the story, he or she was administered an oral recognition test. The test consisted of 40 sentences. Half of the sentences were from the original passage (original sentences); the remaining sentences were semantically different from the original sentences (distractor sentences). The sentences were presented in random order and the child's task was to indicate whether or not each sentence came from the originally presented passage. Each child's recognition score consisted of the total number of correctly identified original and distractor sentences.

## Results

### Reconstruction Scores

Figure 1 shows graphically the effects of grade, context, and instructions on reconstruction scores. The analysis of variance on reconstruction scores yielded significant main effects for grade ( $F(1,72) = 23.82, p < .01$ ) and context ( $F(1,72) = 5.23, p < .05$ ). As expected, older children reconstructed a greater number of idea units than younger children. For the contextual manipulation, children who received the context reconstructed a greater number of idea units than

children who did not receive the context. Additionally, the instructional factor interacted with grade so that the relative impact of the instructional manipulation was greater for older than younger children ( $F(1,72) = 4.24$ ,  $p < .05$ ).

#### Recognition Scores

(Slide of figure 2) The analysis of variance on recognition scores yielded a significant main effect for grade ( $F(1,72) = 33.01$ ,  $p < .01$ ). Older children correctly identified a greater number of original and distractor sentences than younger children. A significant main effect for the contextual manipulation ( $F(1,72) = 31.72$ ,  $p < .01$ ) indicated that children who received the context correctly identified a greater number of original and distractor sentences than children who did not receive the context. No significant interactions were obtained.

#### Discussion

The results of the present study are consistent with those of previous studies yielding age-related increases in the retention of prose (Christie & Schumacher, 1975, 1976). Clearly, older children exhibited higher reconstruction and recognition scores than younger children.

The availability of contextual information also affected retention measures. Children who were given contextual information prior to passage presentation exhibited higher reconstruction and recognition scores than children who were unaware of the context. This finding is quite reasonable since contextual information serves an important role in clarifying the nature of the high order relations among the sentences. Without contextual information, each sentence is a discrete event or episode which does not relate semantically to other sentences. Clearly, if the memory task is a semantic one, retention readily results.


It is interesting to note that the contextual manipulation did not interact with age. In line with previous research, it appears that older as well as younger children very efficiently use their semantic memory system to retain the essential features of prose (Brown, 1975, 1976; Christie & Schumacher, 1975; Jenkins, 1973). Apparently children are well adapted to the task of remembering meaningful materials.

In reference to the instructional manipulation, it was thought that if strategies were involved in the retention of prose, intentional instructions would be sufficient to induce older children to engage in these strategies. The significant grade by instruction interaction for reconstruction scores provided evidence consistent with these expectations. Older but not younger children exhibited higher reconstruction scores under intentional versus incidental instructions.


Further evidence indicating that deliberate strategies were involved in older children's performance on the reconstruction task can be found by comparing reconstruction with recognition test score results. As mentioned previously, a significant grade by instruction interaction was obtained for reconstruction scores. For recognition scores, no significant interaction was obtained. Since recognition tests are sensitive to the amount of information stored in memory (Kintsch, 1968), the superior retention of older children who were given intentional versus incidental instructions cannot be attributed to differences in the amount of information stored. Rather, it is more likely that differences in reconstruction scores as a function of the instructional manipulation were due to differences in what older versus younger children were doing with the information they had stored.

Finally, while it is unclear what the nature of these strategies

might have been, it is clear, based on the exploratory study reported earlier, that older children's superior performance was not due to their generation of the context during passage presentation. It appears that other deliberate strategies beyond the level of context generation are involved in age-related increases in children's retention of prose.



(figure 1. the effects of grade, context, and instructions on reconstruction scores)



(figure 2. the effects of grade, context, and instructions on recognition scores)

## References

- Brown, A. L. Recognition, reconstruction, and recall of narrative sequences by preoperational children. Child Development, 1975, 46, 156-166.
- Brown, A. L. Semantic integration in children's reconstruction of narrative sequences. Cognitive Psychology, 1976, 8, 247-262.
- Christie, D. J., & Schumacher, G. M. Developmental trends in the abstraction and recall of relevant versus irrelevant thematic information from connected verbal materials. Child Development, 1975, 46, 598-602.
- Christie, D. J., & Schumacher, G. M. Some conditions surrounding the effectiveness of advance organizers for children's retention of orally presented prose. Journal of Reading Behavior, 1976, 8(3), 299-309.
- Jenkins, J. J. Remember that old theory of memory? Well, forget it. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Psychological Association, Montreal, September, 1973 (Presidential Address, Division 3).
- Kintsch, W. Recognition and free recall of organized lists. Journal of Experimental Psychology, 1968, 78, 481-487.
- Meacham, J. A. The development of memory abilities in the individual and society. Human Development, 1972, 15, 205-228.